

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ALEJANDRO P. CASTELLANOS, et al.,)	Case No.: 12-CV-02128-LHK
)	
Plaintiffs,)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
)	
v.)	
)	
H&R BLOCK BANK,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	

On September 10, 2012, two days before the September 12, 2012 Case Management Conference, the parties filed a Supplemental Joint Report of Early Meeting of Counsel. ECF No. 27. In that statement, Plaintiffs’ counsel represented that “on August 28, 2012, Plaintiffs indicated to Nick Pacheco Law Group they no longer desired their services and no longer wanted to continue with litigation.” Plaintiffs’ counsel further indicated that they had requested confirmation in writing that Plaintiffs no longer wished to proceed, but had been unable to obtain that confirmation. At the September 12, 2012 Case Management Conference, Plaintiffs’ counsel represented that since August 28, 2012, Plaintiffs had not responded to Plaintiffs’ counsel’s voicemails or certified letter.

1 In light of these facts, Plaintiffs are hereby ORDERED to show cause why this case should
2 not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiffs' response to this Order to Show Cause is due
3 on September 26, 2012, and must be signed by Plaintiffs personally. A hearing on this Order is set
4 for October 3, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiffs are ordered to appear personally at this hearing.
5 Plaintiffs' failure to respond to this Order and to appear at the October 3, 2012 hearing will result
6 in dismissal of this action with prejudice.

7 Further, Attorney Pacheco shall file a declaration under penalty of perjury detailing his
8 efforts to contact Plaintiffs by September 17, 2012 at 5:00 p.m.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 Dated: September 12, 2012

11 
12 LUCY H. KOH
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28